BEFORE THE OFFICE OF CAMPAIGN FINANCE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA BOARD OF ELECTIONS AND ETHICS FRANK D. REEVES MUNICIPAL BUILDING 2000-14th STREET, N.W., SUITE 420 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009

(202) 671-0550

IN THE MATTER OF)	DATE: September 25, 2003
Victor L. Selman)	DOCKET NO.: 03F-152
Supervisory Housing Project Manager)	
Department of Housing and Community)	
Development)	
$5131 - 5^{th}$ Street, NW)	
Washington, DC 20011)	

ORDER

Statement of the Case

This matter came before the Office of Campaign Finance (hereinafter OCF) Office of General Counsel following a determination by its Public Information Records Management Division, that pursuant to the D.C. Code §1-1106.02 (2001 Edition), Victor L. Selman, Supervisory Housing Project Manager, Department of Housing and Community Development, failed to timely file, a Financial Disclosure Statement for calendar year 2002, on or before May 15, 2003 as required by D.C. Official Code §1-1106.02 and also failed to file on or before the OCF sanctioned extended filing deadline of June 19, 2003.

By Notice of Hearing, Statement of Violations and Order of Appearance dated August 11, 2003, OCF ordered Victor L. Selman (hereinafter respondent), to appear at a scheduled hearing on August 22, 2003 and show cause why he should not be found in violation of the D.C. Campaign Finance Reform and Conflict of Interest Act of 1974, as amended by D.C. Official Code §§1-1101.01 et seq., and fined accordingly.

Summary of Evidence

OCF alleges that the respondent failed to timely file the statutorily required Financial Disclosure Statement for calendar year 2002, on or before June 19, 2003.

On September 2, 2003, OCF received an affidavit from respondent stating he became aware of the requirement to file a Financial Disclosure Statement with OCF as a Management Supervisory Service (MSS) employee when he received a Notice of Hearing, Statement of Violations and Order of Appearance on August 27, 2003. Respondent stated he contacted the OCF Hearing Officer and his agency's Ethics Officer

IN THE MATTER OF: Victor L. Selman

Page 2

to inquire about the matter. Respondent stated he confused the filing of a Confidential Statement of Employment and Financial Interests (Form 35), which he filed several months earlier with his agency, and OCF's Financial Disclosure Statement (Form 62). Respondent asserted that his misunderstanding of the requirement to file the two different financial statements resulted in his untimely filing. Respondent filed a fully executed FDS with OCF on September 3, 2003.

Findings of Fact

Having reviewed the allegations and the record herein, I find:

- 1. Respondent was appointed to the Management Supervisory Service on December 16, 2001.
- 2. Respondent was required to file a Financial Disclosure Statement with OCF for calendar year 2002, on or before June 19, 2003.
- 3. Respondent filed the required Financial Disclosure Statement on September 3, 2003.
- 4. Respondent is a first-time required FDS filer.
- 5. Respondent's explanation for the filing delinquency is credible in that he is a novice to the FDS filing requirements, and confused the filing of OCF's Financial Disclosure Statement with the Confidential Statement of Employment and Financial Interests required of certain government employees.
- 6. Respondent is currently in compliance with the statute.

Conclusions of Law

Based upon the record provided by OCF, I therefore conclude:

- 1. Respondent violated D.C. Official Code §1-1106.02.
- 2. The penalty established at D.C. Official Code §1-1103.05(b)(3), and 3 DCMR §\$3711.2(aa), 3711.2 and 3711.4 for failure to timely file a Financial Disclosure Statement required by D.C. Official Code §1-1106.02 is a fine of \$50.00 per day for each business day subsequent to the due date.

IN THE MATTER OF: Victor L. Selman Page 3

- 3. In accordance with D.C. Official Code §1-1103.05(b)(3), the respondent may be fined a maximum of \$2,000.00 for failing to timely file a Financial Disclosure Statement.
- 4. For good cause shown pursuant to 3 DCMR §3711.6, the Director of Campaign Finance (Director) may modify, rescind, dismiss or suspend any fine.
- 5. Respondent's explanation for failing to timely file constitutes good cause for suspension of the fine.

Recommendation

In view of the foregoing and information included in the record, I hereby recommend that the Director suspend the imposition of the fine in this matter.

Date	Jean Scott Diggs
	Hearing Officer
In view of the foregoing, I hereby cor	ncur with the Recommendation.
in view of the foregoing, I hereby con	icui with the Recommendation

IN THE MATTER OF: Victor L. Selman Page 4

ORDER OF THE DIRECTOR

IT IS ORDERED that the fi	ne be hereby suspended in this matter.
Date	Cecily E. Collier-Montgomery Director
SEI This is to certify that I have served a	true copy of the foregoing Order.
	Rose Rice Legal Assistant

NOTICE

Pursuant to 3 DCMR §3711.5 (1999), any fine imposed by the Director shall become effective on the 16th day following the issuance of a decision and order, if the respondent does not request an appeal of this matter. If applicable, within 10 days of the effective date of this order, please make a check or money order payable to the D.C. Treasurer, c/o Office of Campaign Finance, Suite 420, 2000-14th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009.